# RESOLUTION OF THE WHITE MOUNTAIN APACHE TRIBE OF THE FORT APACHE INDIAN RESERVATION

- WHEREAS, the Tribal Council of the White Mountain Apache Tribe has been presented a list of tribal members who request specific farmland assignments, and
- WHEREAS, the list of tribal members, with a description of specific locations requested is attached.
- BE IT RESOLVED by the Tribal Council of the White Mountain Apache Tribe that it hereby approves the farmland applications, as recommended by the Land Committee.

The foreging resoltuion was on May 8, 1980 duly adopted by a vote of 8 for and 0 against by the Tribal Council of the White Mountain Apache Tribe, pursuant to authority vested in it by Article V, Section 1 (i) of the Amended Constitution and By-Laws of the Tribe, ratified by the Tribe June 27, 1958 and approved by the Secretary of the Interior on May 29, 1958, pursuant to Section 16 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984).

Chairman of the Tribal Council

Secretary of the Tribal Council

FORT ADMONF INDIAN ACENCY
ACERIVAR, ARIZ,

#### MINUTES

OF

### LAND BOARD MEETING

## April 21, 1980

A regular monthly Land Board meeting was held on April 21, 1980 in the BIA conference room. The meeting was opened at 9:20 a.m. Members present were: Raymus Albert, George Gregg, Sr., Harley Janeway, Eva Kasey, Carlos Nosie and Edward Walker.

Bruce Rustin's application was reviewed by the Board and was approved for a homesite 200'  $\times$  200' in North Fork.

Discussion on farmland at Canyon Day between Shirley Daley, Neva Aday,

June Massey and Agnes Declay. Raymus told the group to settle this in court

since no decision could be reached here.

Neva Aday asked about her farmland in Chino Springs. She said Mary Johnson told her that Raymus had given her Neva's farmland. Raymus told Neva that he doesn't give any land away.

Freda Newhall transferred her farmland in East Fork to Erna Nozie and the Board approved the application.

Susie Dayaye requested to have her farmland reassigned to herself, which Eleanor Hill planted on in Bear Canyon. Raymus told her Mrs. Hill will be notified again.

Mrs. Clara West requested to have her farm reassigned at Forestdale by the Trading Post. Raymus told her a meeting will be held at Forestdale with Mike Cooley and she will be notified.

The following farmland applications were then approved by the Board:

1. Cora Lee Tenijieth


North Fork

2. 2. Nancy (Alchesay) Skidmore North Fork 3. Guy Massey, Jr. North Fork - Rainbow City 4. Erna Nozie East Fork The following farmland applications were tabled: 1. Lena Massey Turkey Creek 2. Victor Davis Cibecue 3. Davis Goklish The following homesite applications were approved: 1. Gary Skidmore North Fork 2. Juana T. Pailzote North Fork, North of Cecelia Ethelbah 3. Matthew Ethelbah North Fork 4. Regina (Lupe) Yazzie Diamond Creek 5. Alvena Bush Hon Dah 6. Bernaleen Titla Whiteriver 7. Kathleen Antonio Whiteriver 8. Lavan Edwards Yucca Flats #3 9. Murphy L. Riley East Fork 10. Alfonso Lupe Whiteriver The following homesite applications were tabled: 1. Beulah T. Baker NE Whiteriver - Area already taken. 2. Ruben Cody, Sr. Whiteriver Lot 7, Block 11 - Check area.

3. Roy Lupe Whiteriver - Check area and assignees if any. 4. Sarena Perry McNary - Check for water. 5. Marjorie Cosay Canyon Day - Who is putting in posts? 6. Ricard Zahgotah North Fork 7. Conley Clay East Fork - Land dispute. Gilbert Bonito

There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 11:20 a.m.

North Fork - Livestock range.



(602) 338-4364, Ext. 247

2 8 1980

## Memorandum

To:

Area Director

Attention: Tribal Operations

From:

Superintendent

Subject: Resolution No. 80-146

This resolution was written by someone who neither understands the Bureau's budget process, nor understood what the Tribal Council intended the resolution should state. However, I do not feel the subject matter of the resolution warrants an attempt to have it rewritten correctly.

The minutes of the Council Meeting more accurately state the discussion we had with the Council. In summary, they were:

- The Council refused to discuss the minimum funding level, which has been their policy for several years.
- 2. The Council did discuss the current and improved levels of funding, quite seriously and in accordance with the philosophy of the budgetary process. Their priorities are reflected in the ZBB package.
- We did not discuss the Proposed level of funding on the grounds that it is not realistics.

Actually, this year's ZBB discussion with the Council was the most pleasant, serious, and productive session we have ever had with any Council on the Bureau's budgetary process. I regret the resolution does not reflect that. The ZBB process does not require a resolution, so I elect to not pursue the matter.

Superintendent

Enclosures

G.H.DAYAYE/ar: 5-22-80

cc: Supt. Chrono

File